2016-2017 Assessment Cycle (College of Engineering) ENGR_Systems Technology MS

Mission

Welcome to the "Mission" tab. First, review the University's Mission, Values, and Vision statements provided below. Then, in the section labeled "Department / Program Mission", type in the current mission for your department, program, or unit. Click "Save" when you are finished.

University Mission

The University of Louisiana at Lafayette offers an exceptional education informed by diverse worldviews grounded in tradition, heritage, and culture. We develop leaders and innovators who advance knowledge, cultivate aesthetic sensibility, and improve the human condition.

University Values

We strive to create a community of leaders and innovators in an environment that fosters a desire to advance and disseminate knowledge. We support the mission of the university by actualizing our core values of equity, integrity, intellectual curiosity, creativity, tradition, transparency, respect, collaboration, pluralism, and sustainability.

University Vision

We strive to be included in the top 25% of our peer institutions by 2020, improving our national and international status and recognition.

Program Mission

Program Mission

If applicable, provide the program's mission in the space provided. If none exists, write "None Available in 2016-2017".

The M.S. in Systems Technology degree program promotes excellence in graduate education, research, scholarly pursuits, and community service by imparting advanced knowledge of the discipline and related research skills. The theoretical knowledge and research skills obtained in this program prepare students for scholarly endeavors, which will develop knowledge within the discipline. The Systems Technology program is designed to equip students with the knowledge, skills and cutting-edge tools to develop solutions to complex systems problems in a diversity of industries.

Goals (University/Program tied to Curriculum)

Standards/Outcomes

Identifier	Description
------------	-------------

ABET- EAC.1.3	CRITERION: Program Outcomes and Assessment Although institutions may use different terminology, for purposes of Criterion 3, program outcomes are intended to be statements that describe what students are expected to know or be able to do by the time of graduation from the program.
ABET- EAC.1.3.1	> an ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, science, and engineering
ABET- EAC.1.3.10	> a knowledge of contemporary issues
ABET- EAC.1.3.11	> an ability to use the techniques, skills, and modern engineering tools necessary for engineering practice.
ABET- EAC.1.3.12	Each program must have an assessment process with documented results. Evidence must be given that the results are applied to the further development and improvement of the program. The assessment process must demonstrate that the outcomes of the program, including those listed above, are being measured.
ABET- EAC.1.3.2	> an ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data
ABET- EAC.1.3.3	> an ability to design a system, component, or process to meet desired needs
ABET- EAC.1.3.4	> an ability to function on multi-disciplinary teams
ABET- EAC.1.3.5	> an ability to identify, formulate, and solve engineering problems
ABET- EAC.1.3.6	> an understanding of professional and ethical responsibility
ABET- EAC.1.3.7	> an ability to communicate effectively
ABET- EAC.1.3.8	> the broad education necessary to understand the impact of engineering solutions in a global and societal context
ABET- EAC.1.3.9	> a recognition of the need for, and an ability to engage in life-long learning

Additional Standards/Outcomes

Identifier	Description
STEC.1	Explain the conceptual and theoretical framework of thesis or project within Systems Technology.
STEC.2	Demonstrate specialized knowledge and current trends in an area of Systems Technology.
STEC.3	Demonstrate competence in solving practical problems in Systems Technology.

STEC.4 Demonstrate advanced reading, research, oral and written communication skills through a rigorous research approach.

Curriculum Map

Assessment Findings for the Assessment Measure level for MS Systems Technology

Legend	A - Assessed	A - Assessed						
Course/Event	Oral Defense	Oral Defense						
Standard/Outcome	STEC.1 Explain	the conceptual and theoretical framework of the	esis or project within Systems Technolog	gy.				
Assessment Measures								
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives			
	Direct - Presentation	Has the criterion A committee is present for the oral defense of the student's project or thesis. The committee evaluates the student's depth and breadth of knowledge, their ability to solve practical problems and conduct rigorous research. The average score for all students will be 3.0 or higher on a 5.0 scale. been met yet?	STEC has completed six semesters. During this cycle, five students completed their oral defense of their thesis or project. The average score for the five students on their depth and breadth of knowledge in the field of systems technology is 4.0.					

Legend	A - Assessed	[↓] - Assessed					
Course/Event	Oral Defense						
Standard/Outcome	STEC.2 Demons	trate specialized knowledge	and current trends	in an area of Systems Technology.			
Assessment Measures							
	Assessment	Criterion		Summary	Attachments of	Improvement	

Measure			the Assessments	Narratives
Direct - Presentation	Has the criterion A committee is present for the oral defense of the student's project or thesis. The committee evaluates the student's depth and breadth of knowledge of current trends in systems technology. The average score for all students will be 3.0 or higher on a 5.0 scale. been met yet?	STEC has completed six semesters. During this cycle, five students completed their oral defense of their thesis or project. The average score for the five students on their depth and breadth of knowledge of current trends is 4.2.		

Legend	A - Assessed						
Course/Event	Oral Defense	Oral Defense					
Standard/Outcome	STEC.3 Demons	strate competence in solving practical proble	ems in Systems Technology.				
Assessment Measures							
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives		
	Direct - Presentation	Has the criterion A committee is present for the oral defense of the student's project or thesis. The committee evaluates the student's ability to solve practical problems in systems technology. The average score for all students will be 3.0 or higher on a 5.0 scale. been met yet?	STEC has completed six semesters. During this cycle, five students completed their oral defense of their thesis or project. The average score for the five students on their ability to demonstrate competence in solving practical problems in the field of systems technology is 4.2.				

A - Assessed						
Oral Defense						
STEC.4 Demons	strate advanced reading, research, oral and w	ritten communication skills through a rigor	ous research appro	ach.		
Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives		
Direct - Presentation	Has the criterion A committee is present for the oral defense of the student's project or thesis. The committee evaluates the student's abilities in advanced reading, research and oral and written communication skills. The average score for all students will be 3.0 or higher on a 5.0 scale. been met yet?	STEC has completed six semesters. During this cycle, five students completed their oral defense of their thesis or project. The average score for the five students on their abilities in advanced reading, research and oral and written communications skills is 4.0.				
	Oral Defense STEC.4 Demons Assessment Measure Direct -	STEC.4 Demonstrate advanced reading, research, oral and was a season of the student's project or thesis. The committee evaluates the student's abilities in advanced reading, research and oral and written communication skills. The average score for all students will be 3.0 or higher on a 5.0 scale. been met yet?	STEC.4 Demonstrate advanced reading, research, oral and written communication skills through a rigor Assessment Measure Criterion Bummary Summary Has the criterion A committee is present for the oral defense of the student's project or thesis. The committee evaluates the student's abilities in advanced reading, research and oral and written communication skills. The average score for all students will be 3.0 or higher on a 5.0 scale. been met yet? STEC has completed six semesters. During this cycle, five students completed their oral defense of their thesis or project. The average score for the five students on their abilities in advanced reading, research and oral and written communications skills is 4.0.	STEC.4 Demonstrate advanced reading, research, oral and written communication skills through a rigorous research approach.		

Legend	A - Assessed				
Course/Event	Thesis / Report D	ocument (Evaluation)			
Standard/Outcome	STEC.2 Demons	trate specialized knowledge and current trends in a	n area of Systems Technology.		
Assessment Measures					
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives
	Direct - Thesis or Project (Other)	Has the criterion A committee evaluates the student's project or thesis. In the case of a thesis option, scoring is by major advisor. In the case of a project, scoring is by rubric to evaluate the student's depth and breadth of knowledge in current trends in systems technology. The	STEC has completed six semesters. During this cycle, five students completed their thesis or project. The average score for the five students on their depth and breadth of		

	average score for all students will be 3.0 or higher on a 5.0 scale. been met yet? Met	knowledge of current trends is 4.2.	

Legend	A - Assessed							
Course/Event	Thesis / Report D	Thesis / Report Document (Evaluation)						
Standard/Outcome	STEC.3 Demonst	trate competence in solving practical problems	in Systems Technology.					
Assessment Measures								
	Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives			
	Direct - Thesis or Project (Other)	Has the criterion A committee evaluates the student's project or thesis. In the case of a thesis option, scoring is by major advisor. In the case of a project, scoring is by rubric to evaluate the student's ability to solve practical problems in systems technology. The average score for all students will be 3.0 or higher on a 5.0 scale. been met yet? Met	STEC has completed six semesters. During this cycle, five students completed their thesis or project. The average score for the five students on their ability to demonstrate competence in solving practical problems in the field of systems technology is 4.2.					

Legend	A - Assessed
Course/Event	Thesis / Report Document (Evaluation)
Standard/Outcome	STEC.4 Demonstrate advanced reading, research, oral and written communication skills through a rigorous research approach.
Assessment Measures	

Assessment Measure	Criterion	Summary	Attachments of the Assessments	Improvement Narratives
Direct - Thesis or Project (Other)	Has the criterion A committee evaluates the student's project or thesis. In the case of a thesis option, scoring is by major advisor. In the case of a project, scoring is by rubric to evaluate the student's abilities in advanced reading, research and written communication skills. The average score for all students will be 3.0 or higher on a 5.0 scale. been met yet?	STEC has completed six semesters. During this cycle, five students completed their thesis or project. The average score for the five students on their abilities in advanced reading, research and oral and written communications skills is 4.0.		

Summary of Improvement Narratives

Improvement Narrative List

Assessment Findings for the Assessment Measure level

No improvement narratives have been added.

Reflection

Reflection

1) How were assessment results shared in the unit?

Please select all that apply; if "other", please use the text box to elaborate. Distributed via email (selected)

Presented formally at staff/department/committee meeting Discussed informally (selected)

Other (explain in text box below)

2) How frequently were assessment results shared in the unit?

Frequently (>4 times per cycle)
Periodically (2-4 times per cycle)
Once per cycle (selected)
Results were not shared this cycle

3) With whom were assessment results shared?

Please select all that apply.

Department Head (selected)

Dean / Asst. or Assoc. Dean

Departmental assessment committee (selected)

Other faculty / staff (selected)

Other (please explain in text box below)

4) What were the measurable or perceivable effects on your current (2016-2017) findings based on prior action plans (created in 2015-2016)?

This is only the 2nd cycle with data and we are currently meeting all our goals. We have not implemented any action plans at this point. We will gather and evaluate incoming data for three full cycles before implementing changes to our criterion.

5) What has the unit learned from the current assessment cycle?

At this point, the scores indicate that our STEC graduates are well versed in writing and researching technical documents and are competent in the integration of technology, engineering and management.